(1)

(4)

C.S. 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.No. 2685 / 2004.

PETITIONER : GHASI RAM DHRITLAHARE S/O SUKALU RAM, Caste Satnami, Aged about 43 years, R/O Block Colony Daundi, Tehsil Balod, Dist. Durg (C.G.).

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh , through Secretary ST/SC Development Department, Office situated at Secretariate Raipur.

2. Collector, Durg, office situated at Durg (C.G.).

3. Asst. Commisioner, Tribal-Development, Durg, office situated at Durg (C.G.).

4. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Durg, office situated at Durg (C.G.).

5. Project Officer, Tribal - Development Project, Daundilohara, Dist. Durg (C.G.).

6. Bihari Ram Thakur, Teacher-Middle School, Aamadala, Tehsil Daundilohara, Dist. Durg (C.G.).

P.R. No. 279/04
Presented by Shri M. Thakur
dated 18-8-04

Block Colony Daundi 18/08/04

18/08/04

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF

Contd.2/.....

(2)

(5)

INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS / PROHIBITION/ CERTIORARI / DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS, ORDER / ORDERS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2685 सन् 2004

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03/09/2004 :-

Shri N.S. Dhurandhar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General, with Shri U.N.S. Deo, G.A., Shri Yashwant Thakur, G.A., Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.G.A., Shri Akhil Agrawal and Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyers, for the State/respondents.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to transfer of the petitioner. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the Competent Authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having heard and considered the rival contentions, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievance before the State/Competent Authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within ten days, and on such representation being made, the competent authority of the respondents shall consider and decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. If any contravention of policy or guidelines is brought to the notice, the same be considered on its own merits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that after the decision of the representation, if any grievance of the petitioner remains he may be allowed to avail the remedy available under the law. It is open to the petitioner to avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P., if any, stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Copy to State counsel for compliance.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge